

2010

Nuclear Fuel Reprocessing

Joseph Brown
Worcester Polytechnic Institute

Kevin Burns

Jillian Chalke

Adam Jardim

Follow this and additional works at: <http://digitalcommons.wpi.edu/gps-posters>

Recommended Citation

Brown, Joseph; Burns, Kevin; Chalke, Jillian; and Jardim, Adam, "Nuclear Fuel Reprocessing" (2010). *Great Problems Seminar Posters*. Book 69.
<http://digitalcommons.wpi.edu/gps-posters/69>

This Text is brought to you for free and open access by the Great Problems Seminar at DigitalCommons@WPI. It has been accepted for inclusion in Great Problems Seminar Posters by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@WPI.

Nuclear Fuel Reprocessing

Reprocessing is when waste from a commercial nuclear reactor is put through a process that recovers around 30% of the spent nuclear fuel. This allows a reduction in waste and a reduction of uranium mining.

Economics	
ITEM:	COST:
Nuclear Reactor	\$3 to \$5 Billion (upwards of \$9 Billion)
Reprocessing Reactor	\$16 Billion ¹
AP1000 Advanced Pressure Water Reactor (expected)	\$1400 USD per kW ²
Average Nuclear Reactor	\$2555 USD per kW ³
Reprocessing Fast Reactor	\$3828 USD per kW ⁴
Storing Uranium	\$520 per kg ¹
Storing Reprocessed Uranium	\$630-\$1255 per kg ^{1,5}
Uranium Prices	\$45 per lb ²
Cost to use reprocessed Uranium	\$454 per lb ⁵

¹Boston Consulting Group [2007], ²Energy Information Administration [2009], ³The World Nuclear Association [2007], ⁴Grupert [2009], ⁵Zwaan, Holdren, Fetter, & Bunn [2003]

Quick Summary of Information:	
Total amount of uranium available: 3,910,000,000 metric tons	The main benefits of re-processing include the reduction in nuclear waste that must be stored and increase the amount of fuel available.
Amount currently used per year: 66815 metric tons	
If the usage does not change reserves will last: 58,500 years	
If usage of nuclear power is increased by a factor greater than 4 world wide, uranium reserves will last 14,200 years.	



Nuclear reprocessing is not necessary for sustainability of resources, nor is it economically beneficial in either reducing the cost of nuclear power or the cost of nuclear waste storage. Reprocessing is however, viable for reducing or lessening the effects of nuclear waste storage on the environment. If reprocessing is further developed, it would enable nuclear power to become a major source of energy in the United States, without the presence of consequence of large amounts of nuclear waste.